

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appl. No. 10/771,719

Applicant: Simburger et al.

Filed: February 3, 2004

Title: THIN FILM SOLAR CELL  
INFLATABLE ULTRAVIOLET  
RIGIDIZABLE DEPLOYMENT  
HINGE

Atty. Dkt No.: D487

Trinh, Thanh Truc  
Examiner  
Art Unit 1795

**APPLICANT'S INTERVIEW SUMMARY UNDER MPEP 713.04  
IN RESPONSE TO OFFICE COMMUNICATION  
MAILED NOVEMBER 30, 2009**

Electronic Filing

Honorable Commissioner for Patents

Sir:

In an abundance of caution, applicant files this Interview Summary which is in addition to the examiner's Interview Summary (PTOL 413, Rev. 04-03) and Detailed Action including an examiner's amendment and examiner's statement of reasons for allowance included in the Office communication mailed November 30, 2009.

Applicant was telephonically contacted by the examiner on November 6, 2009 and November 20, 2009. Suggestions to amend limitation of uncured resin configured to be cured after deployment of the hinge and entire claim 9 into independent claims for allowance were agreed to by the applicant.

---

Under MPEP 713.04, a complete and proper recollection of the substance of any interview should include at least the applicable items below. Applicant lists each item along with applicant's response as follows:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted. No exhibits were shown and no demonstration was conducted.
- 2) An identification of the claims discussed. Claims 1-16 were discussed.
- 3) An identification of the specific prior art discussed. U.S. Pat. No. 6,284,966 to Simburger et al. was discussed.
- 4) An identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the interview summary form completed by the examiner. See the examiner's Interview Summary form and Detailed Action included in the Office communication mailed November 30, 2009.
- 5) A brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner. The prior art does not disclose a flex circuit and a resin being cured after deployment of the hinge by exposure to UV light.
- 6) A general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed. To the extent not included in the examiner's interview summary and detailed action and to the extent not included in this paper, applicant is unaware of another pertinent matter discussed.
- 7) If appropriate the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the interview summary form completed by the

---

examiner. See the examiner's Interview Summary form and Detailed Action included in the Office communication mailed November 30, 2009.

Applicant makes herein a good faith effort to respond to the Office communication mailed November 30, 2009 and in particular to set forth an interview summary in accordance with MPEP 713.04.

Applicant thanks the examiner for the Office communication and requests issuance of a Notice of Allowance And Issue Fees Due notice (PTOL-85) in due course.

Respectfully submitted,

December 29, 2009

/paul d. chancellor/  
Paul D. Chancellor, Reg. No. 52,715  
OCEAN LAW  
3463 Red Bluff Ct.  
Simi Valley, CA 93063  
Tel: (805) 368-4586  
Fax: (805) 624-6105  
e-mail: [pdc@oceanlawgroup.com](mailto:pdc@oceanlawgroup.com)